

Barbara Samorajczyk sent me the following email to send out to all of you. Bottom line is she supports the project, including the financial assistance. Her email is self-explanatory.

Vicki

To: vlathom@comcast.net
Cc: valmiller@aacounty.org
Subject: Dreams Landing Sewer Connection

Date: Wednesday, August 30, 2006 10:29:46 AM Wed, 30 Aug 2006 14:29:46 +0000 [\[View Source\]](#)

This is to confirm our telephone conversation. I support the Dreams Landing sewer project and will vote for the Bill. I believe it will probably be a unanimous vote in favor since no Councilmember has raised any objection to this project. We must resolve your long standing issue.

I raised questions at the hearing for several reasons.

First, it is very important that the public record is clear.

Second, the auditor and I received inconsistent information from the Department of Public Works that caused the auditor to raise legal questions regarding the bill. Therefore, at the public hearing, I asked an attorney from the office of law to clarify the legality of the Bill based on the most recent information presented by the Department Public Works.

Third, the Auditor and I also received conflicting information regarding the remaining capacity of the sewer line, which is the minimum sized line feasible. Originally we were told the remaining capacity would be less than 50%. Just before the public hearing we were told Dream's Landing would only use 17% of the capacity with 83% remaining. Based on studies done in 1999, significant additional development potential exists in the surrounding area if sewer is permitted. My goal is to resolve your problem in the best manner possible in order to prevent an additional problem.

I have made an inquiry to MDE regarding whether it is permissible to restrict future hook ups to the Dreams Landing line to allow the connection ONLY to resolve a health problem. In other words, to restrict future connections to the purpose for which the sewer line will be installed in the first

place. Since MDE is providing the loan, it is possible such a restriction may be permissible in the loan documents.

If that request is unsuccessful, it will be necessary for the entire community to remain vigilant and review every Sewer and Water Masterplan amendment introduced by the Administration to ensure there is no change in the No Planned Sewer designation for that area.

I also recommend that the community take the initiative each time there is a new Council member for District 6 to ensure they are aware of the importance of focusing on this issue whenever a sewer Masterplan revision is introduced. Ask the Councilmember to notify you and confirm that no amendment is included for your area.

Fourth, it is important to note that unfortunately the story in the Capital was incorrect. The reason no vote was taken at the last public hearing was because it was necessary to amend the Bill based on errors included in the original Bill as introduced. The questions either raised by me or others had nothing to do either with the amendments or with the failure to vote at that time. The Code requires that an amended Bill be advertised so that the public has an opportunity to testify on the amended Bill before a vote can be taken.

If you have any further questions, please do not hesitate to call me at 410 222 1401 [office] or at home [410 263 3945] or on my cell [41 - 991 2352]. Again, I will vote to support the Dreams Landing Bill whether I am successful in obtaining further her protections or not.

Thank you.